



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/813,723

03/31/2004

Robert P. Morris

I222/US

7416

49278 7590 07/09/2008

SCENERA RESEARCH, LLC

111 Corning Road

Suite 220

Cary, NC 27518

EXAMINER

GEBRIEL, SELAM T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/09/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/813,723 | Applicant(s) MORRIS, ROBERT P. | |
| | Examiner SELAM T. GEBRIEL | Art Unit 2622 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NgocYen Vu. (3) Kevin L. Wingate.
 (2) SELAM T. GEBRIEL. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 01 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 43, 51, and 61.

Identification of prior art discussed: Anderson (US 7,117,519 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: its agreed that the proposed amendment for claim 61 can overcome the 101 rejection and the proposed amendment to the specification is accepted and can overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection. For claims 43, and 51 the examiner will look into the reference again to determine whether the proposed amendment can overcome the prior rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ngoc-Yen Vu/
 SPE - Art Unit 2622

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required